C. D. Michel - S.B.N. 144258 Clinton B. Monfort - S.B.N. 255609 Sean A. Brady - S.B.N. 262007 Anna M. Barvir - S.B.N. 268728 MICHEL & ASSOCIATES, P.C. 180 E. Ocean Boulevard, Suite 200 Long Beach, CA 90802 Telephone: 562-216-4444 Facsimile: 562-216-4445 5 Email: cmichel@michellawyers.com 6 7 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA DMR 10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION CASE NO 5351 SAN FRANCISCO VETERAN 11 POLICE OFFICERS 12 ASSOCIATION, LARRY BARSETTI, RAÎNERIO GRANADOS, ARTHUR RITCHIE, COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY 13 AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF and RANDALL LOW, SFPC § 619: Violation of U.S. Const., Amend.'s II & XIV 14 **Plaintiffs** 15 VS. 16 THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN 17 FRANCISCO, THE MAYOR OF SAN FRANCÍSCO, EDWIN LEE in his official capacity, THE CHIEF OF THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE 18 19 DEPARTMENT, GREG SUHR, in his official capacity, and DOES 1-10, 20 Defendants. 21 22 23 24 Plaintiffs, by and through their undersigned attorneys, bring this Complaint 25 for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief against the above-named Defendants, their employees, agents, and successors in office, and in support thereof allege the 26 27 following upon information and belief: 28

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

INTRODUCTION

- Plaintiffs bring this suit to challenge the constitutionality of San Francisco Police Code Section 619 ("Section 619"), enacted and enforced by Defendant City and County of San Francisco, its Mayor, Edwin Lee, and its Chief of Police, Greg Suhr (collectively, "the City"). Section 619 violates Plaintiffs' rights to keep and bear arms under the Second Amendment to the United States Constitution.
- Section 619 bans the possession of common, standard-capacity "ammunition feeding devices" or "magazines" capable of holding more than ten rounds. Magazines prohibited by Section 619 are in widespread, common use throughout the United States. These magazines are typically possessed by lawabiding citizens for lawful purposes, including in-home self defense.
- The City's ban on the very possession of these magazines directly violates Plaintiffs' rights to keep and bear arms enshrined by the Second Amendment.
- 4. Accordingly, Plaintiffs seek declaratory and injunctive relief to invalidate and enjoin the City's enforcement of Section 619.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. The Court has original jurisdiction of this civil action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because the action arises under the Constitution and laws of the United States, thus raising federal questions. The Court also has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1343(a)(3) and 42 U.S.C. § 1983 in that this action seeks to redress the deprivation, under color of the laws, statutes, ordinances, regulations, customs and usages of the State of California and political subdivisions thereof, of rights, privileges or immunities secured by the United States Constitution and by Acts of Congress.
 - Plaintiffs' claims for declaratory and injunctive relief are authorized by

INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

7. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-2(c), this action arises in the County of San Francisco because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in that County. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-2(d), this action should be assigned to either the San Francisco or Oakland Division.

PARTIES

- 8. Plaintiff Larry Barsetti is a resident of San Francisco. He is a retired San Francisco police officer and Secretary of the San Francisco Veteran Police Officers Association. Plaintiff Barsetti is a law-abiding citizen who is not prohibited from owning or possessing firearms under state or federal law. He currently owns magazines prohibited by Section 619 capable of accepting more than ten rounds that were lawfully acquired in accordance with state and federal law. Due to the City's enactment of Section 619, Plaintiff Barsetti is prohibited from possessing these magazines in the City and County of San Francisco. If section 619 is not enjoined, Plaintiff Barsetti will comply with this section to avoid prosecution and will not possess his magazines within City and County limits. But for the City's enactment and ongoing enforcement of Section 619, Plaintiff Barsetti would immediately and continuously possess these magazines within the City and County for lawful purposes, including in-home self-defense.
- 9. Plaintiff Rainerio Granados is a resident of San Francisco. He is a lawabiding citizen who is not prohibited from owning or possessing firearms under state or federal law. Plaintiff Granados currently owns magazines prohibited by Section 619 capable of accepting more than ten rounds that were lawfully acquired in accordance with state and federal law. Due to the City's enactment of Section 619, Plaintiff Granados is prohibited from possessing these magazines in the City

and County of San Francisco. If Section 619 is not enjoined, Plaintiff Granados will comply with this section to avoid prosecution and will not possess his magazines within City and County limits. But for the City's enactment and ongoing enforcement of Section 619, Plaintiff Granados would immediately and continuously possess these magazines within the City and County for lawful purposes, including in-home self-defense.

- 10. Plaintiff Arthur Ritchie is a resident of San Francisco who retired as a Master Chief in the United States Navy after twenty-two years of service. He is a law-abiding citizen who is not prohibited from owning or possessing firearms under state or federal law. Plaintiff Ritchie currently owns magazines capable of accepting more than ten rounds that were lawfully acquired in accordance with state and federal law. Due to the City's enactment of Section 619, Plaintiff Ritchie is prohibited from possessing these magazines in the City and County of San Francisco. If Section 619 is not enjoined, Plaintiff Ritchie will comply with this section to avoid prosecution and will not possess his magazines within City and County limits. But for the City's enactment and ongoing enforcement of Section 619, Plaintiff Ritchie would immediately and continuously possess these magazines within the City and County for lawful purposes, including in-home self-defense.
- 11. Plaintiff Randall L. Low is a resident of San Francisco. He is a law-abiding citizen who is not prohibited from owning or possessing firearms under state or federal law. Plaintiff Low currently owns magazines prohibited by Section 619 capable of accepting more than ten rounds that were lawfully acquired in accordance with state and federal law. Due to the City's enactment of Section 619, Plaintiff Low is prohibited from possessing these magazines in the City and County of San Francisco. If Section 619 is not enjoined, Plaintiff Low will comply with this section to avoid prosecution and will not possess his magazines within City and County limits. But for the City's enactment and ongoing enforcement of

Section 619, Plaintiff Low would immediately and continuously possess these magazines within the City and County for lawful purposes, including in-home self-defense.

- 12. Each of the individual Plaintiffs identified above are residents and taxpayers of the City and County of San Francisco who presently intend to possess their lawfully-acquired, common magazines capable of holding more than ten rounds within the City and County as is their right under the Second Amendment to the United States Constitution a right the City now denies them through the enactment and enforcement of Section 619. Plaintiffs fear prosecution under Section 619 if they possess magazines prohibited by this section within the City and County of San Francisco.
- 13. Each of the individual Plaintiffs presently intend to and forthwith would possess their magazines prohibited by Section 619 within the City and County of San Francisco if this Court declared Section 619 void and unenforceable or otherwise enjoined its enforcement.
- 14. Plaintiff San Francisco Veteran Police Officers Association ("SFVPOA") is an organization that represents the interests of veteran police officers in the City and County of San Francisco, including the exercise of their members' rights to keep and bear arms under the Second Amendment. Members of the SFVPOA are law-abiding citizens who are not prohibited from owning firearms under state or federal law. Many of these veteran police officers live in the City and County of San Francisco and own magazines prohibited by Section 619 that were lawfully acquired in accordance with state and federal law, including Plaintiff Larry Barsetti. Due to the City's enactment of Section 619, SFVPOA members are prohibited from possessing these magazines in the City and County of San Francisco. If Section 619 is not enjoined, SFVPOA members will comply with this section to avoid prosecution and will not possess their prohibited magazines within City and County limits. But for the City's enactment and ongoing enforcement of

Section 619, these members would immediately and continuously possess their magazines within the City and County for lawful purposes, including in-home self-defense.

- 15. SFVPOA's individual members, like the named individual Plaintiffs, are residents and taxpayers of the City and County of San Francisco who have an interest in possessing common magazines that are protected by the Second Amendment, but are prevented from doing so by the City's enactment and enforcement of section 619; thus, the members have standing to seek declaratory and injunctive relief to halt enforcement of Section 619; the interests of these members are germane to their respective associations' purposes; and neither the claims asserted nor the relief requested herein requires that these members participate in this lawsuit individually.
- 16. SFVPOA members presently intend to and forthwith would possess their magazines prohibited by Section 619 within the City and County of San Francisco if this Court declared Section 619 void and unenforceable or otherwise enjoined its enforcement.
- 17. Defendant City and County of San Francisco is a municipal corporation acting as such by and under state law. Defendant City and County of San Francisco is a "person" acting under color of state law within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 1983, and is principally responsible for implementing and enforcing Section 619.
- 18. Defendant Lee is the current Mayor and chief executive officer of Defendant City and County of San Francisco. Defendant Lee is an agent, servant, and/or employee of Defendant City and County of San Francisco, acting under color of state law as that phrase is used in 42 U.S.C. § 1983, and is responsible for enforcing Section 619. Defendant Lee is sued in his official capacity.
- 19. Defendant Suhr is the Chief of Police of Defendant City and County of San Francisco. Defendant Suhr is an agent, servant, and/or employee of Defendant

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

Section 619 does not include an exception for possession by law-

to possession by military, possession by law enforcement, as well possession by

persons using "large-capacity magazines" for entertainment events.

26

27

28

27.

abiding citizens for self-defense.

- 28. Section 619 does not include an exception for law-abiding retired police officers. Retired police officers are forced to dispose of their lawfully-acquired, common magazines with capacities of more than ten rounds that are prohibited by Section 619, as set forth in that section and described in paragraph 25 of this Complaint.
- 29. The City has never informed Plaintiffs or the public that it does not intend to enforce Section 619.
- 30. The City has no documents indicating that it does not intend to enforce Section 619.

Standard-Capacity Magazines Prohibited by Section 619 Are Protected Under the Second Amendment:

- 31. On June 26, 2008, the United States Supreme Court confirmed in *District of Columbia v. Heller*, 128 S. Ct. 2783, 2816 (2008) that arms "typically possessed by law-abiding citizens for lawful purposes" or those "in common use" are protected under the Second Amendment.
- 32. On June 28, 2010, the Supreme Court confirmed that Second Amendment protections are fully applicable to state and local governments by virtue of the Fourteenth Amendment. *McDonald v. City of Chicago*, 130 S. Ct. 3020 (2010).
- 33. In the wake of *Heller* and *McDonald*, courts have evaluated Second Amendment protections for ammunition, magazines, and firearm components pursuant to the Supreme Court's "common use" standard described in *Heller*.
- 34. Magazines that are in common use for lawful purposes are protected by the Second Amendment.
- 35. A standard-capacity magazine is one containing the number of cartridges the firearm was designed to operate with. Increased-capacity magazines and feeding devices are those holding more cartridges than the firearm was

originally designed to use. Reduced, low-capacity magazines are those whose capacity is artificially reduced from that which the firearm was originally designed or intended to use.

- 36. Firearms with magazines capable of holding more than ten rounds can be traced back to the era of ratification of the Fourteenth Amendment.
- 37. Millions of firearms that have been sold in the United States come stock from the factory with magazines capable of holding more than ten rounds. These include, but are not limited to: the Glock 17 (designed to hold 17 rounds), the Beretta 92F (designed to hold 15 rounds), the M1 Carbine (designed to hold 15 or 30 rounds), and the Ruger Mini-14 (designed to hold 5 or 20 rounds).
- 38. Notwithstanding the City's description of the prohibited magazines as being "large-capacity," magazines with capacities of more than ten rounds are standard for many common handguns and long guns. For example, standard capacity for firearms chambered in 9 mm is 15-17 rounds; standard capacity for firearms chambered in .40 S&W is 15 rounds; standard capacity for firearms chambered in .45 ACP is 7-13 rounds; standard capacity for firearms chambered in 5.56 mm is 20-30 rounds; and standard capacity for firearms chambered in .308 is 20 rounds.
- 39. Millions of standard-capacity magazines capable of holding more than ten rounds that are prohibited by Section 619 are currently possessed by lawabiding citizens for a variety of lawful purposes in the United States, including target practice, shooting competitions, and hunting.
- 40. Millions of standard-capacity magazines capable of holding more than ten rounds that are prohibited under Section 619 are currently possessed by lawabiding citizens for the core lawful purpose of self-defense, including in-home self-defense.
- 41. Self-defense is the "central component" of the Second Amendment right to keep and bear arms that is at its zenith within the home.

- 42. Millions of individual, law-abiding American citizens are currently in possession of standard-capacity magazines that are capable of holding more than ten rounds, that are now banned by Section 619.
- 43. Standard-capacity magazines that are prohibited by Section 619 are typically-possessed by law-abiding citizens for lawful purposes, including in-home self-defense.
- 44. Standard-capacity magazines capable of holding more than ten rounds that are prohibited by Section 619 are not "dangerous and unusual."
- 45. The majority of pistol magazines currently manufactured in the United States have capacities of greater than ten rounds.
- 46. There are currently tens of millions of rifle magazines that are lawfully-possessed in the United States with capacities of more than ten rounds.
- 47. The use of standard-capacity magazines with capacities of more than ten rounds increase the likelihood that a law-abiding citizen will survive a criminal attack.
- 48. Section 619's ban on the possession of standard-capacity magazines by law-abiding citizens does not increase public safety.
- 49. Limiting magazine capacity for law-abiding citizens to ten rounds decreases public safety by giving violent criminals an advantage and thus decreasing the likelihood that a victim will survive a criminal attack.
- 50. The overwhelming majority of law enforcement officers in the United States acknowledge that banning standard-capacity magazines capable of holding more than ten rounds will not increase public safety.

DECLARATORY JUDGMENT ALLEGATIONS

51. Plaintiffs are responsible, law-abiding adults qualified to own firearms under the laws of the United States and the laws of the State of California.

Plaintiffs seek to lawfully possess constitutionally-protected magazines prohibited

by Section 619 for self-defense and other lawful purposes.

- 52. Plaintiffs presently intend to exercise their rights to defend themselves, their homes and families by keeping magazines prohibited by Section 619 for self-defense and other lawful purposes. The City's policies under Section 619 prevent them from doing so and criminalize the exercise of Plaintiffs' Second Amendment rights.
- 53. Because the City has enacted and enforces Section 619, Plaintiffs face potential criminal prosecution for exercising their Constitutional right to keep common magazines capable of holding more than ten rounds for self-defense and other lawful purposes.
- 54. There is an actual and present controversy between the parties hereto in that Plaintiffs contend that the City's ordinance that forbids residents from possessing common magazines violates the Second Amendment. The City denies these contentions. Plaintiffs desire a judicial declaration of their rights and the City's duties, namely, that the City's policy under Section 619 violates Plaintiffs' Second Amendment rights. Plaintiffs should not have to face criminal prosecution by the City for exercising their constitutional rights to keep and bear constitutionally-protected arms or, alternatively, give up those rights in order to comply with Section 619.

INJUNCTIVE RELIEF ALLEGATIONS

55. If an injunction does not issue enjoining the City from enforcing Section 619, Plaintiffs will be irreparably harmed. Plaintiffs are continuously and irreparably injured by Section 619 insofar as it precludes them from exercising rights guaranteed under the Second Amendment. Section 619 denies Plaintiffs the right to possess and use commonly-possessed magazines within the City and County of San Francisco for lawful purposes, including in-home self-defense, without risking criminal prosecution.

WHEREFORE Plaintiffs pray for relief as follows:

28

AMENDED IN COMMITTEE 10/10/13

ORDINANCE NO. 149-13

FILE NO. 130585

[Police Code - Large Capacity Magazines; Sales of Firearms and Ammunition; Reporting Lost or Stolen Firearms; Shooting Ranges] 1 2 Ordinance amending the Police Code to ban the possession of large capacity magazines for firearm ammunition; require that dealers advise persons purchasing a 3 firearm of local firearms laws; establish a rebuttable presumption that the owner who 4 has not reported the theft or loss of a firearm as required by law remains in possession 5 6 of the firearm; modify certain requirements for ammunition sales require local dealers to report all ammunition sales to the Chief of Police; and, prohibit the operator of a 7 shooting range from allowing minors to enter the premises. 8 9 NOTE: Unchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain Arial font. **Additions to Codes** are in *single-underline italics Times New Roman font*. 10 **Deletions to Codes** are in *strikethrough italics Times New Roman font*. Board amendment additions are in double-underlined Arial font. 11 Board amendment deletions are in strikethrough Arial font. Asterisks (* * * *) indicate the omission of unchanged Code 12 subsections or parts of tables. Do NOT delete this NOTE: area. 13 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco: 14 15 Section 1. The San Francisco Police Code is hereby amended by adding Section 619 16 618, to read as follows: 17 18 SEC. 619 618. PROHIBITION AGAINST POSSESSION OF LARGE CAPACITY MAGAZINES (a) Findings. 19 (1) In 2007, 3,231 people died from firearm-related injuries in California, and 4,491 20 21 other people were treated for non-fatal gunshot wounds. 22 (2) The ability of an automatic or semiautomatic firearm to fire multiple bullets without 23 reloading is directly related to the capacity of the firearm's feeding device or "magazine." Inside the

Supervisors Cohen, Chiu, Campos, Yee, Mar, Breed **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

24

25

(7) Large capacity magazines have also been used against San Francisco police
officers, including a recent incident at India Basin Shoreline Park, where undercover police officers
were targeted with semiautomatic pistols containing 30-round magazines. Prohibiting large capacity
magazines serves police safety by requiring perpetrators to pause to reload their firearms more
frequently, giving police officers greater opportunity to apprehend them.

- (8) Large capacity magazine bans reduce the capacity, and thus the potential lethality, of any firearm that can accept a large capacity magazine.
- (9) Large capacity magazines are not necessary for individuals to vindicate their right to self-defense. Only in an extraordinarily rare circumstance would a person using a firearm in self-defense ever be required to use a large capacity magazine to defend himself or herself effectively. This is particularly true in an urban center like San Francisco, where law enforcement can and does respond quickly to threats and incidents. Conversely, the dangers of large capacity magazines are heightened in dense urban areas like San Francisco.
- (10) In 1994, in recognition of the dangers posed by these devices, Congress adopted a law prohibiting the transfer and possession of large capacity magazines as part of the federal assault weapon ban. That law was filled with loopholes, however.
- (11) The federal law was enacted with a sunset clause, providing for its expiration after ten years. Despite overwhelming public support for the law, Congress allowed the federal ban to expire on September 13, 2004.
- (12) Research commissioned by the U.S. Department of Justice to analyze the effect of the 1994 federal ban on assault weapons and large capacity magazines found that attacks with semiautomatics including assault weapons and other semiautomatics equipped with large capacity magazines result in more shots fired, more persons hit, and more wounds inflicted per victim than do attacks with other firearms.

large capacity magazine no longer than is necessary to deliver or transport the same to a law enforcement agency for that agency's disposition according to law;

- (11) A forensic laboratory or any authorized agent or employee thereof in the course and scope of his or her authorized activities;
- (12) Any person in the business of selling or transferring large capacity magazines in accordance with Penal Code § 12020, who is in possession of a large capacity magazine solely for the purpose of doing so; or
- (13) Any person lawfully in possession of a firearm that the person obtained prior to January 1, 2000 if no magazine that holds 10 or less rounds of ammunition is compatible with that firearm and the person possesses the large capacity magazine solely for use with that firearm.
 - (e) **Penalty.** Any person violating this chapter is guilty of a misdemeanor.
- (f) Severability. If any subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, or word of this Section be for any reason declared unconstitutional or invalid or ineffective by any court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity or the effectiveness of the remaining portions of this Section or any part thereof. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have adopted this Section notwithstanding the unconstitutionality, invalidity, or ineffectiveness of any one or more of its subsections, sentences, clauses, phrases, or words.
- (g) No duplication of state law. In the event that the State of California enacts legislation prohibiting possession of large capacity magazines, this Section 618 shall have no force or effect to the extent that it duplicates any such state law.
- Section 2. The San Francisco Police Code is hereby amended by amending Section 613.10, to read as follows:
- SEC. 613.10. LICENSE—CONDITIONS.

(n) At or prior to the time of delivering a firearm, licensees shall provide the person buying, leasing, or receiving the loan of the firearm with a copy of a notice, to be prepared by the Chief of Police, advising the reader of local firearms laws, including safe gun storage requirements and the requirement to report a lost or stolen firearm. The notice may also include summary information on relevant State firearms laws, including the requirement that the sale, loan or other transfer of a firearm to a non-licensed person be completed through a licensed firearms dealer.

Section 3. The San Francisco Police Code is hereby amended by amending Section 616, to read as follows:

SEC. 616. REPORTING THE LOSS OR THEFT OF FIREARMS.

- (a) Any person that owns or is otherwise in possession of a firearm shall report the theft or loss of such firearm to the San Francisco Police Department within 48 hours of becoming aware of the theft or loss whenever
 - (1) the owner resides in San Francisco, or
 - (2) the theft or loss of the firearm occurs in San Francisco.
- (b) The failure of an owner or person in possession of a firearm to report the theft or loss of the firearms within 48 hours of when the owner or person in possession becomes aware or should have become aware of the theft or loss shall be punishable in accordance with Section 613.19.
- (c) The failure of an owner or person in possession of a firearm to report the theft or loss of the firearms in a timely manner shall create a rebuttable presumption that the owner or person remains in possession of the firearm.

Section 4. The San Francisco Police Code is hereby amended by amending Section 615, to read as follows:

SEC. 615. RECORDS OF AMMUNITION SALES.

(a) Definitions.

- (1) "Firearm ammunition," as used in this Section, shall include any ammunition for use in any pistol or revolver, or semiautomatic rifle or assault weapon, but shall not include ammunition for shotguns that contains shot that is No. 4 or smaller.
- (2) "Semiautomatic rifle," as used in this Section, shall mean any repeating rifle which utilizes a portion of the energy of a firing cartridge to extract the fired cartridge case and chamber the next round, and which requires a separate pull of the trigger to fire each cartridge.
- (3) "Assault weapon," as used in this Section, shall mean any of the weapons designated in California Penal Code Section 12276 or 12276.1.
- (4) "Vendor," as used in this Section, shall mean any person located in the City and County of San Francisco who is engaged in the sale of firearm ammunition, including any retail firearms dealer.
- (5) "Remote Vendor," as used in this Section, shall mean any person engaged in the sale of firearm ammunition, including any retail firearms dealer, who is located outside the City and County of San Francisco but delivers or causes to be delivered firearm ammunition to an address within the City and County of San Francisco.
- (b) No Vendor shall sell or otherwise transfer ownership of any firearm ammunition without at the time of purchase recording the following information on a form to be prescribed by the Chief of Police:
- (1) the name of the Vendor (including the name of the specific individual) transferring ownership to the transferee;

- (2) the place where the transfer occurred;
- (3) the date and time of the transfer;
- (4) the name, address and date of birth of the transferee;
- (5) the transferee's driver's license number, or other identification number, and the state in which it was issued;
 - (6) the brand, type and amount of ammunition transferred; and
 - (7) the transferee's signature <u>and thumbprint</u>.

Within 24 hours of the commencement of the transaction, regardless of when the firearm ammunition is delivered, the Vendor shall report the transaction to the Chief of Police by electronic mail at _____ or by such other means specified by the Chief of Police.

The report shall contain the same information required above.

- (c) (1) The records required by this Section shall be maintained on the premises of the vendor for a period of not less than two years from the date of the recorded transfer. Said records shall be subject to inspection at any time during normal business hours.
- otherwise transfers ownership of five hundred (500) or more rounds of any firearm ammunition to a transferee in a single transaction, where the transaction occurs within the City and County of San Francisco or the firearm ammunition is ordered for delivery to an address within the City and County of San Francisco, shall be subject to the reporting requirement of this subsection (c) (e)(2). Within 24 hours of the commencement of the transaction, regardless of when the firearm ammunition is delivered, the Vendor or Vendor or Remote Vendor shall report the transaction to the Chief of Police by electronic mail at ______ or by such other means specified by the Chief of Police. The report shall contain the same information required under subsection (b). In determining the number of rounds sold or otherwise transferred for purposes of complying with this subsection (c) (e)(2),

the <u>Vendor or Remote Vendor vendor or remote vendor</u> shall include any combination of types, brands or calibers sold or transferred to the transferee.

(d) No Vendor shall knowingly make a false entry in, or fail to make a required entry in, or fail to maintain in the required manner-records prepared in accordance with subsection (b) subsections (b) and (c)(1). No vendor shall refuse to permit a Police Department employee to examine any record prepared in accordance with this Section during any inspection conducted pursuant to this Section. No Vendor or Remote Vendor shall fail to submit the report required under subsection (c) subsections (b) or (c) in a timely manner subsection (c)(2), or knowingly include false information in such report. A Vendor must maintain the records required under subsection (b) on the premises for a period of not less than two years from the date of the recorded transfer. Said records shall be subject to inspection by the Police Department at any time during normal business hours.

(e) Penalties.

- (1) **First Conviction.** Any person violating any provision of this Section shall be guilty of an infraction. Upon conviction of the infraction, the violator shall be punished by a fine of not less than \$50 nor more than \$100.
- (2) **Subsequent Convictions.** In any accusatory pleading charging a violation of this Section, if the defendant has been previously convicted of a violation of this Section, each such previous violation and conviction shall be charged in the accusatory pleading. Any person violating any provision of this Section a second time within a 90-day period shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be punished by a fine of not less than \$300 and not more than \$400 for each provision violated, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not more than six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment. Any person violating any provision of this Section, a third time, and each subsequent time, within a 30-day period shall be guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be punished by a fine of not less than \$400 and not

more than \$500 for each provision violated, or by imprisonment in the County Jail for a period of not more than six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

(f) **Severability**. If any subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, or word of this Section be for any reason declared unconstitutional or invalid or ineffective by any court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity or the effectiveness of the remaining portions of this Section or any part thereof. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have adopted this Section notwithstanding the unconstitutionality, invalidity, or ineffectiveness of any one or more of its subsections, sentences, clauses, phrases, or words.

Section 5. The San Francisco Police Code is hereby amended by amending Section 1040, to read as follows:

SEC. 1040. FIREARMS REGULATED; MINORS PROHIBITED.

- (a) It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, corporation, club or association, maintaining or conducting any shooting gallery or range to use or permit to be used or discharged therein any firearms of greater than 22 caliber, unless the cartridges used in such firearms be loaded with reduced charges.
- (b) It shall be unlawful for any person, firm, corporation, club or association, maintaining or conducting any shooting gallery or range to permit any person under the age of 18 to enter the premises that are the subject of the permit unless accompanied by a parent or guardian.

Section 6. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.

Section 7. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles, numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under the official title of the ordinance.

APPROVED AS TO FORM: DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

By: THOMAS J. OWEN
Deputy City Attorney

n:\legana\as2013\1300396\00878805.doc



City and County of San Francisco **Tails**

City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B, Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Ordinance

File Number:

130585

Date Passed: October 29, 2013

Ordinance amending the Police Code to ban the possession of large capacity magazines for firearm ammunition; require that dealers advise persons purchasing a firearm of local firearms laws; establish a rebuttable presumption that the owner who has not reported the theft or loss of a firearm as required by law remains in possession of the firearm; modify certain requirements for ammunition sales; and prohibit the operator of a shooting range from allowing minors to enter the premises.

October 10, 2013 Neighborhood Services and Safety Committee - AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING NEW TITLE

October 10, 2013 Neighborhood Services and Safety Committee - RECOMMENDED AS **AMENDED**

October 22, 2013 Board of Supervisors - PASSED ON FIRST READING

Ayes: 9 - Avalos, Breed, Campos, Chiu, Cohen, Farrell, Mar, Tang and Yee Excused: 2 - Kim and Wiener

October 29, 2013 Board of Supervisors - FINALLY PASSED

Ayes: 11 - Avalos, Breed, Campos, Chiu, Cohen, Farrell, Kim, Mar, Tang, Wiener and Yee

File No. 130585

I hereby certify that the foregoing Ordinance was FINALLY PASSED on 10/29/2013 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

> Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board

Date Approved